

**People believe that media coverage of celebrities is having a negative effect on children.
To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?**

When it comes to the media showing the celebrities, people tend to have a variety of perspectives. In fact public opinion is divided into groups and opinion-makers who claim that the media has deleterious effects on **children**, and the opponents arguing though there are certainly valid arguments to the contrary, the merits of this coverage outweigh the drawbacks. My view is close to the latter, and this essay will elaborate this contentious topic with some relevant examples.

From my point of view showing the celebrities on the media has myriad advantages for both the stars and the populace especially children. If children understand what a rough way those stars passed, and how they overcame their difficulties, they learn that continuity and perseverance is one of the possibly feasible roads to success. Only when the media covers every detail of celebrities' lives, can we expect children learn how to fight the obstacles in their life. Moreover, these coverages can show children that their stars are ordinary people like everyone else, and not the imaginary character some created on their minds.

On the contrary, showing celebrities in the mass media can have inverse impacts on children's expectations, asking inappropriate demands from their parents. Besides that, this also has deleterious effects on their life in the long term. That all individuals do not have enough capabilities to become a star is an indisputable fact. Disappointed and depressed, many a person wastes his time to become his childhood iconic dream.

Though showing the details of personal and social life of well-known people has its demerits, I hold the idea that this can benefit children if certain rules and regulations are applied by the officials preventing ~~them~~ media from showing programs with unsuitable contents for children.